

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				<u>-</u>
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,055	07/31/2003	Shahriar Ahmed	42P10970C	3607
75	90 08/23/2005		EXAM	INER
Michael A. Be			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2811	
	KOLOFF, TAYLOR &	: ZAFMAN LLP	ADTUNET	DARCO NUMBER
12400 Willshire	Boulevard		ARI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
Seventh Floor,	CA 90025	•	2811	:
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2003	5 <u>;</u> :

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/633,055	AHMED ET AL.	(pm)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Junghwa M. Im	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.	June 2005.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		rs, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims	,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,17-22 and 27-31</u> is/are pending i	n the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iioiii consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 13,17-22 and 27-31 is/are objected	to		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/			
	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		i19(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		olication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			ge
application from the International Bure	·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/22/05</u> .	o) Other:	.·	

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites a limitation of "... the dielectric layer includes an emitter cut ..." Note that an emitter cut is an extension of the emitter, therefore, it is not dielectric.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13, 17-20, 22 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akbar et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4957875), hereinafter Akbar.

Regarding claim 13, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows a bipolar junction transistor comprising:

in a substrate 32, a first isolation structure 36 spaced apart from a second isolation structure 38;

Art Unit: 2811

an emitter stack 16 disposed above the substrate and between the first isolation structure and the second isolation structure; and

a recess (a portion between the regions 17, 18) disposed immediately adjacent to the emitter stack and disposed between the emitter stack and the first isolation structure, wherein the recess exposes a collector tap 26, wherein the emitter stack and the recess share a boundary.

Regarding claim 17, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows a buried layer 34 in the substrate between the first isolation structure and the second isolation structure.

Regarding claim 18, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows a bipolar junction transistor further including: in a substrate, an epitaxial base layer 14 disposed below the emitter stack; a collector structure 12 disposed in the substrate below the emitter stack; and an intrinsic base structure 22 disposed between the emitter stack and the collector structure.

Regarding claim 19, insofar as understood, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows a bipolar junction transistor further including:

in the substrate, an epitaxial base layer 14 disposed below the emitter stack;
a collector structure 12 disposed in the substrate below the emitter stack; and
a dielectric layer 17 disposed above the substrate and below the emitter stack, wherein
the dielectric layer disposed above the collector structure; and

an intrinsic base structure 22 disposed between the emitter stack and the collector structure.

Note that "epitaxial" is a process designation, and would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a product. See *In re Thorp*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 20, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows a collector tap 26 is N type.

Regarding claim 22, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows the BJT is selected from a monojunction BJT device and a heterojunction BJT device.

Regarding claim 27, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows the collector tap 127 is self-aligned with the emitter stack.

Also, note that "self-aligned" is a process designation and would thus not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a product. See *In re Thorp*, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Regarding claim 28, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows the bipolar junction transistor is an NPN transistor, and the collector tap is N type.

Regarding claim 29, Akbar discloses the bipolar junction transistor is an PNP transistor, and the collector tap is P type (col. 3, lines 24-25).

Regarding claim 30, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows the collector tap has no doping that is different from the substrate.

Regarding claim 31, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows the recess is a contact corridor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akbar in view of Suzuki (U.S. Pat. No. 6476452).

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 21, Fig. 8 of Akbar shows substantially the entire claimed structure except that the substrate includes a BiCMOS structure. Figure 1 of Suzuki shows a bipolar junction transistor, wherein the substrate includes a BiCMOS structure (structure with 130, 128).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Suzuki into the device of Akbar in order to have a BiCMOS structure in order to accommodate the design specification.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (571) 272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Stephen Loke can be reached on (571) 272-1657. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Steven Loke

Art Unit: 2811

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmi